

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

VINCENT RODRIGUEZ, Individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

vs.

GREGORY CUTCHALL, Individually; and  
GC PIZZA LLC,

Defendants.

**4:20CV3106**

**AMENDED FINAL  
PROGRESSION ORDER**

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the parties' Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines. ([Filing No. 70](#).) The Motion is granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the provisions of the Court's previous final progression order remain in effect, and in addition to those provisions, progression shall be amended as follows:

- 1) The trial and pretrial conference will not be set at this time. The status conference presently scheduled for January 19, 2022 is canceled. A status conference to discuss **case progression, the parties' interest in settlement and trial and pretrial conference settings** will be held with the undersigned magistrate judge by telephone on **May 3, 2022 at 3:45 p.m.** Counsel shall use the telephone conferencing instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference. ([Filing No. 17](#).)

- 2) The deadline for completing written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36 and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is **May 1, 2022**. Motions to compel written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36 and 45 must be filed by **May 15, 2022**.

**Note:** A motion to compel, to quash, or for a disputed protective order shall not be filed without first contacting the chambers of the undersigned magistrate judge to set a conference for discussing the parties' dispute.

- 3) The deadlines for identifying expert witnesses expected to testify at the trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

For the plaintiff(s):  
For the defendant(s):

**December 6, 2021**  
**January 21, 2022**

- 4) The deadlines for complete expert disclosures<sup>1</sup> for all experts expected to testify at trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

For the plaintiff(s):	<b>March 7, 2022</b>
For the defendant(s):	<b>May 6, 2022</b>

- 5) The deadline for filing motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment is **June 1, 2022**.
- 6) The deadline for filing motions to exclude testimony on *Daubert* and related grounds is **June 10, 2022**.
- 7) The parties shall comply with all other stipulations and agreements recited in their Rule 26(f) planning report that are not inconsistent with this order.
- 8) All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the undersigned magistrate judge. Such requests will not be considered absent a showing of due diligence in the timely progression of this case and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis  
United States Magistrate Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> While treating medical and mental health care providers are generally not considered “specially retained experts,” not all their opinions relate to the care and treatment of a patient. Their opinion testimony is limited to what is stated within their treatment documentation. As to each such expert, any opinions which are not stated within that expert’s treatment records and reports must be separately and timely disclosed.